Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

×	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB	Number	09-2067/1		Introd	luction Numb	oer A	B-0419	
Descr Rape : rights		ons in civil prod	eedings, discover	y and ins	pection of victims	and witn	esses, and victims	
Fiscal	Effect							
	<u> </u>	e Existing tions Existing	Revenue	s Existing	to abs		s - May be possible n agency's budget No	
	No Local Go Indeterminat 1. Increas Permiss 2. Decrea	e Costs sive ⊡ Mandat	3. Increase ory Permissiv 4. Decrease	ve Man Revenue	datory Co		iits Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agend	cy/Prepared	Ву	Aut	horized S	ignature		Date	
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700 Phil \				il Werner (608) 267-2700 9/18/20			9/18/2009	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 9/18/2009

LRB Number 09-2067/1	Introduction Number	AB-0419	Estimate Type	Original
Description Rape shield provisions in civil proce rights	edings, discovery and	inspection of	victims and witne	esses, and victims

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Prosecutors anticipate no fiscal effect on their office if this bill were to be enacted.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

No long-range fiscal impact is expected if this bill were to be enacted.

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	09-2067/1		Introd	duction Nu	mber A	B-041	9
Descr i Rape s rights		ons in civil proc	eedings, disc	overy and ins	pection of victi	ims and witr	nesses, a	nd victims
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase Increase Increase Appropria Appropria Create No	e Existing tions Existing	Reve Decr Reve	ase Existing enues ease Existing enues	to a	rease Costs absorb withi Yes crease Cost	n agency	
	Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Doubles Districts							
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agend	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized :	Signature			Date
DOC/ Dustin Trickle (608) 240-5413				Robert Marg	olies (608) 240)-5056		9/25/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOC 9/25/2009

LRB Number	09-2067/1	Introduction Number	AB-0419	Estimate Type	Original				
Description Rape shield provisions in civil proceedings, discovery and inspection of victims and witnesses, and victims									
rights	·	•	•						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill prohibits a court from ordering a witness or a victim, as a condition of allowing testimony in a criminal case involving sexual assault or repeated sexual assault of a child, sexual assault, or other criminal acts that are sexually motivated, to submit to a psychiatric or psychological examination to assess his or her credibility. This bill also generally prohibits a defendant from compelling a crime victim to a pretrial interview or disposition.

While this bill would change victim's pre-trial procedure obligations, as well as the conditions in which testimony may be permitted during criminal proceedings, it is not clear to what extent, or whether, these provisions would affect the outcome of those proceedings. As a result, the cost implications of this bill for the Department cannot be determined.

If the provisions of this bill affect the outcome of criminal proceedings (increasing or decreasing convictions), the Department's costs will similarly increase or decrease.

The average FY09 annual cost for an inmate in a DOC institution is approximately \$31,800. However, when there is excess capacity in DOC facilities, the incremental costs (i.e. food, health care and clothing) of housing a small number of inmates is approximately \$4,900, based on FY09 costs. When there is no excess capacity in DOC facilities, as is currently the case, the Department uses contract beds at a rate of \$18,800 annually per person.

As this bill also would change victim's pre-trial procedure obligations, as well as conditions in which testimony may be permitted during criminal proceedings for crimes which may be misdemeanor offenses, outcomes of proceedings for cases which may impact local jail populations may change as well. However, because of the above stated reasons, the cost implications of this bill for local jails are indeterminate as well.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

X	Original		Updated		Correcte	ed [Supple	mental
LRB	Number	09-2067/1		Intro	duction	Number	A	B-041	9
Descr Rape : rights		ons in civil proc	eedings, discover	y and ins	pection of	f victims and	witne	esses, a	nd victims
Fiscal	Effect								
		e Existing tions Existing	Increase Revenues Decrease Revenues	s Existing		Increase C to absorb v Y Decrease	within es	agency	
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive 🔲 Mandat	3. Increase ory Permissiv 4. Decrease	/e ☐ Mai Revenu	e ndatory ie	5.Types of Lo Governmen Towns Countie School	nt Uni	its Affect Village Others WTCS District	Cities
Fund	Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
⊠ G	PR 🗌 FED	PRO [PRS SEG	i S	EGS				
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	Aut	horized	Signature	e			Date
SPD/	Mike Tobin (6	608) 266-8259	Kris	sta Ginger (608) 264-8572 9/29/20			9/29/2009		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 9/29/2009

LRB Number	09-2067/1	Introduction Number	AB-0419	Estimate Type	Original			
Description Rape shield provisions in civil proceedings, discovery and inspection of victims and witnesses, and victims								
rights	·	•	•					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. Therefore, the SPD would not be required or authorized to appoint attorneys under this bill, and the SPD does not anticipate any increase in its trial-level caseload if the bill is enacted. As discussed below, the bill could indirectly result in a small increase in the number of post-conviction appointments.

The bill would prohibit the court in certain criminal cases from ordering a witness, as a condition of the admissibility of his or her testimony, to submit to a psychological or psychiatric examination to assess his or her credibility. The bill would also prohibit the defense in a criminal case, subject to narrow exceptions, from compelling a witness to participate in a pretrial interview or deposition. The bill has other provisions that would apply in civil proceedings.

Although the bill would affect pretrial practice in some criminal cases, it is unlikely that a large number of cases would be affected. Attorneys appointed by the SPD rarely retain expert witnesses for the types of evaluations that would be prohibited by this bill. However, despite the small number of cases likely to be affected, the impact in a single case could be significant. A pretrial evaluation might lead to dismissal of an unwarranted charge against an innocent defendant. If the case proceeds to trial, the SPD incurs additional attorney costs, and the SPD might also incur the additional cost of a post-conviction appointment if the trial results in a finding of guilt.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. However, for the same reason that the SPD does not anticipate any impact on its trial-level caseload, the counties are unlikely to see any such increase in case appointments.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications